

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,100	11/20/2003		Angelo Bettinzoli	TJK/431	9896
27717	7590	02/14/2005		EXAM	IINER
SEYFARTH SHAW				SY, MARIANO ONG	
55 EAST MONROE STREET SUITE 4200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			3683	·	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
2	Office Action Summany	10/718,100	BETTINZOLI, AN	GELO
\ <u></u>	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ti MAN DIO DATE AND STATE OF S	Mariano Sy	3683	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress
THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period willure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M. cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this o	
Status				
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	enuary 2005		
	_	action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal m	* *	e merits is
Dispos	ition of Claims			
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applica	ition Papers			
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected by drawing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have be ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage
Attachme	ent(s)			
1) 🛭 Not 2) 🔲 Not 3) 🖾 Info Pap	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date <u>02232004</u> .	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner has withdrawn the election of specie requirement.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "there being slidable coaxially" in line 3. It is vague and unclear what applicant is referring to.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the outer spring" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said means for fastening the second end of the first spring" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3683

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the head" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14, the phrase "and the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 14 recites the limitation "there being slidable coaxially" in line 3. It is vague and unclear what applicant is referring to.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "said means for fastening the second end of the first spring" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "the surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the head" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3683

6. Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 21, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gronbach (GB 1,422,808).

Re-claims 1, 2, 14, and 15 Gronbach disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-2, a hinge with a moveable axis for doors comprising: a balancing device that includes a sleeve 8 provided with a seat formed in a head of the sleeve for retaining a first end of a first coaxial spring 6, another end of which has a fastening element 10, a spindle 5, one end inside said first spring, a first means 9 for retaining one end of a second spring 6' which is coaxial with the first spring and the other end is housed inside a corresponding seat 8 of said sleeve, said first spring having a load greater than said second spring.

Re-claims 10 and 21, wherein said spindle has transverse projections 7.

Re-claims 11 and 24, wherein said spindle has a section adjacent to the head with a widened section.

Re-claims 13 and 26 wherein said second spring is a compression spring.

7. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by H. Pletsch (US 991,539).

Re-claims 1 and 2 H. Pletsch disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-2, a load-balancing device comprising: a sleeve C provided with means for retaining a first end of a first coaxial spring A, another end has a fastening element B', a spindle D, one end inside said first spring, a first means F for retaining one end of a second spring E which is coaxial with the first spring and the other end is housed inside a corresponding seat of said sleeve C, said first spring having a load greater than said

Art Unit: 3683

second spring; wherein said means for retaining the end of said first spring comprises a seat in a head of the sleeve C.

Re-claims 3-5, see figure 1-2, said sleeve C which is coaxially hollow, wherein said means for retaining the first end of said first spring comprises a thread that has pitch corresponding to pitch of the first spring.

Re-claims 7 and 8, see fig. 1-2, wherein said spindle has one end, inside the first spring, having a head F provided with a seat for housing one end of the second spring; wherein another end of the second spring is housed inside a corresponding seat in a surface (facing the second spring) of the sleeve C.

Re-claim 9, wherein an outer free end of said spindle has a hole D'.

Re-claim 12, wherein said first spring is an extension spring.

Re-claim 13, wherein said second spring is an extension spring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 6, 14-20, 22, 23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over H. Pletsch in view of Hanley et al. (US 5,025,776).

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claims 6 and 19 H. Pletsch disclosed wherein the means for fastening the second end of first spring A comprises Plug B that has a threaded hole but failed to disclose a hook.

Hanley et al. teaches, as shown on fig. 4, means for fastening a second end of a spring is a hook.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modify the means for fastening the second end of first spring of H. Pletsch with a hook, as taught by Hanley et al., in order to ease assembly of hinge components to the end of the spring.

Re-claims 14 and 15 H. Pletsch disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-2, a balancing device that includes a sleeve C provided with means for retaining a first end of a first coaxial spring A, another end has a fastening element B', a spindle D, one end inside said first spring, a first means F for retaining one end of a second spring E which is coaxial with the first spring and the other end is housed inside a corresponding seat of said sleeve C, said first spring having a load greater than said second spring; wherein said means for retaining the end of said first spring comprises a seat in a head of the sleeve C.

However H. Pletsch disclosed the balancing device wherein the spring can connect to any mechanical device but was silent to disclose wherein the balancing device is connected to a hinge with a movable axis for doors of electric household appliances.

Art Unit: 3683

Hanley et al. teaches, as shown in fig. 1-4, a hinge with a movable axis for oven door.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have connect the balancing device of H. Pletsch to a hinge with a movable axis for doors of electric household appliances, as taught by Hanley et al., is a matter of design choice of application to any mechanical device.

Re-claims 16-18, see figure 1-2, said sleeve C which is coaxially hollow, wherein said means for retaining the first end of said first spring comprises a thread that has pitch corresponding to pitch of the first spring.

Re-claims 20 and 22, see fig. 1-2, wherein said spindle has one end, inside the first spring, having a head F provided with a seat for housing one end of the second spring; wherein another end of the second spring is housed inside a corresponding seat in a surface (facing the second spring) of the sleeve C.

Re-claim 23, wherein an outer free end of said spindle has a hole D'.

Re-claim 25, wherein said first spring is an extension spring.

Re-claim 26, wherein said second spring is an extension spring.

- 10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
- H. Pletsch in view of Gronbach (GB 1 422 808).

Re-claim 10 H. Pletsch failed to disclose wherein said spindle has transverse projections.

Gronbach teaches, as shown in fig. 1, a spindle 5 has transverse projections 7.

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modify the spindle of H. Pletsch by adding transverse projections, as taught by Gronbach, in order to act as a stop for the sleeve of the spring.

11. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over H. Pletsch in view of Hanley et al. as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Gronbach (GB 1 422 808).

Re-claim 21 H. Pletsch as modified failed to disclose wherein said spindle has transverse projections.

Gronbach teaches, as shown in fig. 1, a spindle 5 has transverse projections 7.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modify the spindle of H. Pletsch as modified by adding transverse projections, as taught by Gronbach, in order to act as a stop for the sleeve of the spring.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Z. Robinson	(US 1,556,717)
-------------	----------------

Art Unit: 3683

McKinney et al.

(US 5,822,925)

Faringosi

(US 5,937,481)

Pelletier et al.

(US 6,397,836)

Gronbach

(US 6,438,799)

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 703-308-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci, can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Sy

February 7, 2005

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310

Page 9